COURT NO.3

SECTION PIL-W

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. NOS.137806/2017 AND 137811/2017 IN WRIT PETITION(S)(CIVIL) NO(S). 274/2009

ASSAM PUBLIC WORKS

ITEM NO.301

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

(IA Nos.137806/2017 and 137811- Applications for impleadment and directions)

Date : 15-12-2017 These applications were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Parties	Mr. K.V. Vishwanathan, Sr.Adv. Ms. Madhumita Bhattacharjee, AOR
	 Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Attorney General Mr. Tushar mehta, ASG Ms. Binu Tamta, Adv. Ms. Rashmi Malhotra, Adv. Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv. Mr. B.V. Balramdas, Adv. Mr. R.M. Bajaj, Adv. Mr. Arwind Kumar Sharma, AOP
	Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, AOR Mr. Tushar Mehta, ASG Mr. Shuvodeep Roy,Adv.
	Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv. Mr. Debojit Borkakati,Adv.
	Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, AOR Ms. Qurratulain, Adv. Ms. Akriti Chaubey,Adv.
	Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, AOR
	Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, AOR Mr. Snehasish Mukherjee, AOR
	· · · · ······························

Mr. Shibashish Misra, AOR
Mr. Shadan Farasat, AOR
Mr. Mohit D. Ram, AOR
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, AOR
Mr. Mohan Pandey, AOR
Mr. Guntur Prabhakar, AOR
Mr. Fuzail Ahmad Ayyubi, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

We have heard the learned counsel for the applicant who seeks to intervene/implead in the present proceedings. No order with regard to the prayer for intervention/impleadment is required at this stage.

Having gone through the statements made in the application seeking directions for modification of the order of this Court dated 30.11.2017, we are of the view that the issue of publication of the draft National Register of Citizens (NRC) on or before 31.12.2017 (in part) had been exhaustively debated before this Court. On due consideration of the matter, we had passed the order dated 30.11.2017 directing that the draft NRC insofar as 2.38 claimants are concerned be published on or before 31.12.2017 and at a subsequent point of time

2

there would be publication of another draft NRC in respect of the remaining cases. While passing the said order on 30.11.2017 we had taken note of the statements made by the learned Attorney General that "part publication of the draft NRC could result in a law and order situation inasmuch as a large segment of the people would understand that their names are excluded from the draft NRC". In this regard we had in our order dated 30.11.2017 observed as follows:

"We do not see how the aforesaid situation can even remotely arise as we have already indicated in the earlier part of the present order that claims of 47 lakh persons and 29 lakh persons are still pending and would be subject to verification and covered by publication of another draft NRC at a subsequent point of time."

We reiterate the aforesaid observation meaning thereby that the claims/cases of the left out applicants whose names are not included in the draft NRC to be published on or before 31.12.2017, will be scrutinized and thereafter, if found eligible, would be included in the subsequent draft NRC. This, in our considered view, should allay the apprehensions expressed by the present applicant in

3

the application which is to a similar effect as those expressed before the Court by the learned Attorney General.

We further give liberty to the State to give wide publicity/coverage to the present order/direction so as to ally all fears and apprehensions that may exist.

We also make it clear that the publication of the draft NRC is not the end of the process and against such draft publications, claims and objections of the aggrieved parties can be filed, which, naturally, will be verified in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, the present applications are disposed of.

(NEETU KHAJURIA)	(TAPAN KR. CHAKRABORTY)
COURT MASTER	BRANCH OFFICER